

COMHAIRLE CATHRACH BHAILE ATHA CLIATH

DUBLIN CITY COUNCIL

Record of Executive Business

**Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) – Part XAB**

**Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended) – Part 8**

Proposed amendment of approved Part 8 Application ref. 3196/19 to facilitate necessary upgrades and alterations to Dublin City Gallery, The Hugh Lane ('Hugh Lane Gallery'), a Protected Structure (RPS no. 6382), Parnell Square, Dublin 1.

**Appropriate Assessment (AA) Screening Determination**

Pursuant to the requirements of the above, Dublin City Council is proposing an amendment of approved Part 8 Application ref. 3196/19 to facilitate necessary upgrades and alterations to Dublin City Gallery, The Hugh Lane ('Hugh Lane Gallery'), a Protected Structure (RPS no. 6382).


Having regard to Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive and Part XAB of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), the guidance contained in the document entitled "*Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland Guidance for Planning Authorities*" (published by the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government in 2009) and following an examination of the objective information provided in the Screening for Appropriate Assessment report (the Screening Report), Dublin City Council, as the Competent Authority, determines that the proposed amendment of approved Part 8 Application ref. 3196/19, individually or in combination with other plans and projects, does not have the potential to give rise to likely significant effects on European sites, their conservation objectives or integrity, and therefore does not require an Appropriate Assessment.

Key points in the determination – there are no European sites present within the redline boundary and the closest European sites are 1.2 km to the east (South Dublin Bay and River Tolka Estuary SPA, site code: 4024), 3.5 km to the south-east (South Dublin Bay SAC, site code: 206) and the North Bull Island SPA, site code: 4006 located 4.4km to the east. There are no feasible pathways between the site and any of the European sites.

Through an assessment of the pathways for effects and an evaluation of the project characteristics, taking account of the processes involved and the distance of separation from European sites, it has been evaluated that there are no likely significant adverse effects on the qualifying interests, special conservation interest or the conservation objectives of any designated European site as a result of the proposed development.

It is therefore highly improbable that a project of this nature and scale will have any measurable impact on the qualifying interests of these Natura 2000 sites.

Therefore a Stage 2: Appropriate Assessment will not be required to inform the project appraisal either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, with respect to any Natura 2000 sites and their Conservation Objectives.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "K. Sweezy". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping tail on the "y".

Senior Planner,  
Date: 17-09-24

Agreed

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Eze Ue Phastor". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Deputy City Planner  
Date: September 19<sup>th</sup> 2024